

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,788	05/18/2001	Mark Ahmadjian	AFB00500	8998
75	90 07/29/2002			
Thomas C. Stover			EXAMINER	
ESC/JAZ 40 Wright Street Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2903		·	KIM, AI	HSHIK
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		\mathcal{W}				
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	09/862,788	AHMADJIAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Ahshik Kim	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th th corresp ndence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	oplication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has be	een received.				
Attachment(s)	c priority uniter 33 U.S.C.	33 120 aliu/01 121.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continuation Data

1. Acknowledged this application claims the benefit of provisional application Serial No.

5 60/206,231 filed May 22, 2000.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 18 May 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR

1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that

portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to

be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not

been considered.

15

20

10

Claim Objections

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution.

Re claim 6.5, decimal form in the claim number such as 6.5 is not allowed.

Misnumbered claims 6.5-14 have been renumbered 7-15. Claims 1-15 remain for

examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 2876

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

5 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 9: Claim 9 recites the limitation "The photodetector of claim 8". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10

25

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

5. Claims 1-9 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill, Jr. et al. (US 5,850,285) in view of Hasson (US 5,625,452).

Re claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 14, and 15, Hill teaches a rocket/aircraft exhaust plume detecting system (See abstract) comprising an electro-optical components 10 and 16, a filter 18 and a spectrometer 20 which detects spectral reference of the emission (col. 5, lines 35+). As further disclosed in the abstract, a particular wavelength (i.e., 0.2 – 200 microns) can be detected and captured. Hill further teaches that the system detects UV and IR frequencies (col. 3, lines 21+)

Hill fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest the apparatus is mounted on above-flying or orbiting platform.

Hasson discloses a target acquisition system 10 utilizing target's electromagnetic spectrum. As shown in figure 3, the system can be installed in an airplane 102 (col. 2, lines 5+; col. 5, lines 59+). Hasson further teaches that the system detects emission lines of sodium (Na) and Potassium (K) by atomic line filters as described in claims 6 and 10

In view of Hasson's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to install the plume detector on airborne carrier to the teachings of Hill in order to efficiently collect the exhaust sample from the rocket/missile. Although Hill is silent as to where the system can be installed, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to install such system where detecting and interrogating of the jet/rocket plume is efficient and most precise. Obviously, as shown by Hasson, installing such system airborne or satellite,

15

the plume can be captured before it dissipates or diluted/contaminated by other particles in the air, and thus an obvious expedient.

Re claim 2, detectable wavelength of emission plume includes CO₂, CO, NO₂, H₂O, and other atomic or ionic species (col. 5, lines 6+; col. 5, lines 22+).

Re claim 4, a use of a narrow band filter (col. 2, lines 54+) and a radiometric component (col. 4, lines 21) is also disclosed.

Re claims 5 and 13, Hill also discloses that the device is able to distinguish rocket/missile plume from a non-threatening or natural objects such as flair or cloud (col. 1, lines 45+).

Re claims 8 and 9, Although Hill does not use the term photometer, a

detector/photomultiplier 22 measures the luminescence of an exhaust plume as shown in figure 2

(col. 3, lines 45-47)

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill, Jr. et al. (US 5,850,285) as modified by Hasson (US 5,625,452) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Houlberg (US 6,072,571). The teachings of Hill as modified by Hasson have been discussed above.

Hill/Hasson fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest of utilizing GPS system to find the coordinate of the rocket/missile.

Houlberg teaches a system for tracking a target, which tracks a flight path of an object such as a missile and satellite (See abstract; col. 3, line 55 – col. 4, line 6) comprising the global positioning system 28 (col. 4, lines 46+).

Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

In view of Houlberg's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an old and well-known GPS system to the teachings of Hasson/Houlberg in order to find the coordinates of the missile/rocket. GPS system is old and well known to one of ordinary skill in the art to track satellites, aircrafts and other objects, which warrants tracking. Accordingly, detecting and tracking airborne objects such as missiles and rockets are crucially important in testing or real environment. By accommodating GPS system to Hasson/Houlberg, a flying object can be identified, and the current location and the flight path of the object can be anticipated, and thus an obvious expedient.

10 Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Hasson (US 6373558); Hasson (US 5625452); Hasson (US 5479255); Livingston (US 6265704); Fegley et al. (US 5546183); Garrett (US 5686988); Sabsabi et al. (US 6008897); Kremer et al. (US 5029999) disclose spectral emission analysis and tracking systems.
- 15 Π. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahshik Kim whose telephone number is (703)305-5203. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (703) 746-4782. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-7722, (703)308-7724, or (703)308-7382.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

20

25

30

5

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2876

July 22, 2002

10

MICHAEL G. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800